1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 3 4 January 20, 2021 - 10:17 a.m. 5 6 [Remote Hearing conducted via Webex] 7 8 RE: DW 20-153 PITTSFIELD AQUEDUCT COMPANY, INC.: 9 Request of Change in Rates. (Prehearing conference) 10 11 12 PRESENT: Chairwoman Dianne H. Martin, Presiding Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey 13 Doreen Borden, Clerk 14 Susan Gagne, PUC Remote Hearing Host 15 16 **APPEARANCES**: Reptg. Pittsfield Aqueduct Company: 17 Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law) 18 Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn Fabrizio, Esq. 19 Jayson Laflamme, Asst. Dir./Gas & Water 20 21 22 23 Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 24

INDEX PAGE NO. STATEMENTS OF PRELIMINARY POSITION BY: Ms. Fabrizio Ms. Brown QUESTION BY CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN RESPONSE TO QUESTION BY MR. GOODHUE 

1 PROCEEDING 2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Good morning, 3 everyone. We're here this morning in Docket DW 4 20-153 for a prehearing conference regarding the 5 Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, Incorporated, 6 Request for a Change in Rates. 7 I have to make the necessary findings because this is a remote hearing. 8 As Chairwoman of the Public Utilities 9 Commission, I find that due to the State of 10 11 Emergency declared by the Governor as a result of 12 the COVID-19 pandemic, and in accordance with the 13 Governor's Emergency Order Number 12, pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04, this public body is 14 15 authorized to meet electronically. Please note 16 that there is no physical location to observe and 17 listen contemporaneously to this hearing, which 18 was authorized pursuant to the Governor's 19 Emergency Order. 20 However, in accordance with the 21 Emergency Order, I am confirming that we are 2.2 utilizing Webex for this electronic hearing. All 23 members of the Commission have the ability to 24 communicate contemporaneously during this

1 hearing, and the public has access to 2 contemporaneously listen and, if necessary, 3 participate. 4 We previously gave notice to the public 5 of the necessary information for accessing the 6 hearing in the Order of Notice. If anyone has a 7 problem during the hearing, please call (603)271-2431. In the event the public is unable 8 to access the hearing, the hearing will be 9 10 adjourned and rescheduled. 11 Okay. We have to take a roll call 12 attendance, because we're having a remote 13 hearing. 14 My name is Dianne Martin. I am the Chairwoman of the Public Utilities Commission. 15 16 And I am alone. 17 Commissioner Bailey. 18 CMSR. BAILEY: Good morning. Kathryn 19 Bailey, Commissioner at the Public Utilities 20 Commission. And I am alone. 21 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Let's take 2.2 appearances, starting with Attorney Brown. 23 MS. BROWN: Thank you. Good morning, 24 Commissioners. And the Company greatly

appreciates the Commission's patience and 1 2 perseverance in conducting these hearings. 3 So, with that, I am Marcia Brown, with 4 NH Brown Law, and also as backup counsel today is 5 John Clifford, and he is here so that people can 6 see and meet him, in the event that he has to 7 take over in my stead. 8 And, for the Company, we have Larry Goodhue, who is Pittsfield Aqueduct Company's CEO 9 and CFO. I don't believe is visible on your 10 11 screen, Commissioners, is Don Ware, he is the 12 Chief Operating Officer. Also attending this 13 prehearing is Carol Ann Howe, who is the 14 Assistant Treasurer/Director of Regulatory 15 Affairs and Business Services; also Jay Kerrigan, 16 who is the regulatory treasury/financial analyst; 17 and, lastly, George Torres, who is the Corporate 18 Controller/Treasurer/Chief Accounting Officer for 19 Pittsfield Aqueduct Company. 20 And, if I may segue with my time on two 21 procedural points: There are no intervenors. 2.2 So, there's no comment from -- a position from the Company on that. We also traditionally do 23 24 not object to Jayson Laflamme's involvement in

1 this docket, because he is a Pittsfield Aqueduct 2 Company customer. So, I just wanted to get that 3 on the record as well. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you 6 for that. 7 And Attorney Fabrizio. MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. Good 8 9 morning, Chairwoman Martin and Commissioner 10 Bailey. 11 I'm Lynn Fabrizio, Staff Attorney, here 12 representing the Staff of the Commission today. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. It sounds 15 like the only preliminary matters were those 16 raised a moment ago by Attorney Brown. If there 17 is anything, please let me know now? 18 [No verbal response.] 19 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: All right. 20 Otherwise, we are moving on to initial positions. 21 And we will start with Ms. Fabrizio. 2.2 We can't hear you, Attorney Fabrizio. 23 MS. FABRIZIO: I was still on mute. 24 Thank you, Madam Chair.

1 The Staff will be engaging in a close 2 examination of Pittsfield Aqueduct's analysis and 3 support for its rate increase request. As you're 4 aware, the Company bears the burden of proof in 5 justifying its proposed revenue increase, which, 6 at approximately 11 percent, appears to be fairly 7 substantial for a company that serves about --8 [Court reporter interruption due to indecipherable audio, and asking Atty. 9 10 Fabrizio to restart her statement.] 11 MS. FABRIZIO: Okay. Staff will be 12 engaging in a close examination of Pittsfield 13 Aqueduct's analysis and support for its rate 14 increase request. And, as you're aware, the 15 Company bears the burden of proof in justifying 16 its proposed revenue increase, which, at 17 approximately 11 percent, appears to be fairly 18 substantial for a company that serves about 640 19 customers. However, the Company's last general 20 rate increase occurred approximately seven years 21 ago, in Docket DW 13-128. 2.2 The Company has also requested 23 modifications in its current ratemaking mechanism

24

{DW 20-153} [Prehearing conference] {01-20-21}

to mirror those approved by the Commission for

1 its sister utilities, Pennichuck Water Works and 2 Pennichuck East Utility. Staff will be reviewing 3 those proposed modifications as it pertains to 4 Pittsfield Aqueduct's system, to ensure that, if 5 approved, they would result in just and 6 reasonable rates. 7 That said, Staff will work with the Company today to develop a procedural schedule 8 that will include ample opportunity for discovery 9 10 and review of the Company's books and records, 11 possible settlement discussions, and a hearing 12 schedule for temporary rates, as well as 13 permanent rates. We look forward to working with the 14 15 Company on a just and reasonable resolution of 16 its Petition request. 17 Thank you. CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you. 18 19 Any questions, Commissioner Bailey? 20 [Cmsr. Bailey indicating in the 21 negative.] 2.2 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Attorney 23 Brown. 24 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Chairwoman

1 Martin and Commissioner Bailey. 2 As the Commission is aware, Pittsfield 3 Aqueduct Company filed its tariff schedules and 4 notice of intent of the rate increase on November 5 16th, 2020, and the increase of the tariffs were 6 set to go into effect on December 17th, 2020. At 7 the same time, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company also supplied the financial and other documentation 8 required under the Commission's 1600 rules 9 10 governing full general rate cases. 11 For the record, as depicted on 12 Pittsfield Aqueduct Company's rate filing at Tab 12, which is Pages 231 to 234 for the record, as 13 14 calculated under a conventional ratemaking model, 15 the Company's rate of return for its test period 16 was 1.44, as compared to an authorized rate of 17 return, again, calculated under a conventional 18 rate model, was 3.81. So, clearly, Pittsfield 19 Aqueduct Company is not earning its authorized 20 revenues. 21 I would like to make a note that there 2.2 is a error in our Temporary Rate Petition, which 23 is at the electronic filing at Page 14, but it 24 appears in the Temporary Rate Petition, in that

we cited the rate of return as being "3.74". 1 2 That was our mistake. It's actually "3.81". 3 With respect to temporary rates, the 4 Commission -- the Company filed a request for 5 temporary rates in the event that the Commission 6 suspended the taking effect of its permanent 7 rates and tariffs. And the Company is requesting 8 that the current rates be set as temporary rates. 9 Now, the current rates were last found 10 to be just and reasonable in Pittsfield Aqueduct 11 Company's 2013 rate case, which was over six 12 years ago. So, even though under a traditional, 13 conventional ratemaking calculation, the Company 14 is in an earnings deficiency. The Company 15 believes that, if, at the end of this rate case, 16 it can recoup the revenues it otherwise would 17 have earned under its December 17th tariffs, had 18 they not been suspended, as long as it can recoup 19 those revenues, then it can be made whole. 20 So, even though the Company is in an 21 earnings deficiency, its rates were last found to 2.2 be just and reasonable in 2013, we believe going 23 forward, for temporary rates, that they can still 24 be just and reasonable with that caveat of

recoupment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

And the Company will work with Staff to develop a procedural schedule to have a hearing and potential discovery for the temporary rates, and we'll propose that schedule to the Commission.

7 Now, with its rate filing, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company also filed a petition to modify 8 its ratemaking structure, and that appears at 9 10 Tab 11 of its rate filing. And, for the benefit 11 of the record, and to provide a brief history, 12 the City of Nashua purchased Pittsfield Aqueduct 13 Company's parent, Pennichuck Corporation, in Docket Number DW 11-026. As a result of that 14 15 purchase, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company became 16 under -- came under municipal ownership, just 17 like its affiliates, PEU and -- Pennichuck East 18 Utility and Pennichuck Water Works.

Also, under that municipal ownership, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company's rate structure -ratemaking structure is not designed to earn profits over and above coverage of its necessary operating expenses, and also there's no traditional dividends that are issued to

shareholders.

1

2 So, although this municipal ownership, 3 as was discussed in Docket DW 11-026, can help 4 lower customer rates, the municipal ownership 5 also means that the regulated water utilities 6 under Pennichuck Corporation do not have access 7 to equity. They are entirely debt-funded in 8 their capital structure. And it is this 9 debt-funded nature of the Company, Pennichuck 10 East Utility, Pennichuck Water Works, all of their capital structures that make the water 11 12 utilities highly dependent on cash flow. 13 Unique to PAC, is that while Pennichuck 14 East and Pennichuck Water Works have access to 15 external debt financing, such as through CoBank, 16 and CoBank is a member of the Farm Credit 17 Bureau's lending system, for Pennichuck East 18 and -- or, for Pennichuck East or the bond 19 markets for Pennichuck Water Works, these are 20 differences for Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, 21 Pittsfield Aqueduct is limited to debt from the 2.2 SRF from Department of Environmental Services, 23 that's the State Revolving Loan Fund, or the 24 Drinking Water/Groundwater Trust Fund, or

1 Pennichuck Corporation's working line of credit. 2 So, the access to debt is even more restricted 3 with Pittsfield Aqueduct Company. 4 Because the cash flow issue also 5 affects Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, the Company 6 is seeking to modify its ratemaking structure to 7 include the changes that the Commission has already approved for Pennichuck East Utility and 8 Pennichuck Water Works. And those modifications 9 10 were intended to address the cash flow problem. 11 I would also like to touch upon the 12 fact that the last rate increase for Pittsfield 13 Aqueduct Company was in 2013. And, in that year, 14 all three water utility affiliates, Pennichuck 15 Water Works, Pennichuck East Utility, Pittsfield 16 Aqueduct Company, were ordered, as a result of DW 17 11-026, to file rate increases to flow through 18 the savings resulting from the City of Nashua's 19 acquisition of Pennichuck Corporation. 20 Since 2013, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company 21 has not needed a rate case, until now. 2.2 Therefore, this is the first rate case to bring 23 Pittsfield Aqueduct Company's ratemaking 24 structure current to what Pennichuck Water Works

has.

1

2	Now, the specific modifications appear
3	on in the Petition for ratemaking
4	modification or, modifications to ratemaking
5	structure, as well as there's an attachment to
6	Mr. Goodhue's testimony. And I will just direct
7	for the record, at Page 162, there is a table
8	that summarizes the ratemaking structure
9	presently existing for Pittsfield Aqueduct
10	Company, and the changes that are requested in
11	this rate case. And, on that table, the City
12	Bond Fixed Revenue Requirement is a component
13	that Pittsfield Aqueduct Company has. The
14	Material Operating Expense Revenue Requirement is
15	something that was adopted for Pennichuck Water
16	Works and Pennichuck East. Pittsfield Aqueduct
17	Company does not have that yet, along with a Rate
18	Stabilization Fund. Also, there is no
19	Non-Material Operating Expense Revenue
20	Requirement. And Pittsfield Aqueduct Company
21	does not have either of the Debt Service Revenue
22	Requirements.
23	So, as a picture, I would direct the
24	Commission to Page 162, because everything to the

1 right of the "CBFRR" is what Pittsfield Aqueduct 2 Company seeks to be brought current to with its 3 ratemaking structure to address the cash flow 4 issues. 5 In addition, I skipped over, in the 6 2019 rate case for Pennichuck Water Works, a 7 Material Operating Expense Factor was also added to Pennichuck Water Works' ratemaking schedule --8 structure, rather, and that is also a feature 9 10 that Pittsfield Aqueduct Company is seeking. 11 If I may now move to notice. On 12 September 17th, the Company filed its Notice of 13 Intent, per Puc Rule 1604.05 and RSA 378. I note 14 that in the docketbook it says we filed a 15 "Request for a Change in Rates", we actually a "Notice of Intent" of the rate increase. 16 17 On November 16th, 2020, Pittsfield 18 Aqueduct Company filed its tariffs, and provided 19 thirty days' notice of the taking effect of its 20 tariffs, and the effective date was December 21 17th. 2.2 Also, on November 17th, the Company 23 posted its rate filing, the proposed tariffs, and 24 the temporary rate materials on its website.

1 And, as the Pennichuck family of companies 2 usually do, is they post a "Answers to Commonly 3 Asked Questions", to also help customers 4 understand the rate increase. 5 On November 18th, Pittsfield Aqueduct 6 Company mailed notice to each customer, to 7 provide actual notice of the rate filing and 8 proposed rates. And, on December 17th, pursuant to the 9 Commission's Order 26,435, the Company published 10 11 the Commission's order on its website. And, also 12 on December 17th, the Company filed proof of its 13 posting with the Commission. 14 Now, as far as the increase's effect on 15 rates, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company has a 16 General-Metered class, a Private Fire class, and 17 a Public Fire Protection Hydrant class. It is 18 predominantly a residential General-Metered 19 customer group. Therefore, the cost of service 20 study, which was last done in 2008, the Company 21 has not revised that, because the customer 2.2 classes have stayed so stable, it didn't see a 23 need to conduct a cost of service study at this 24 juncture.

So, therefore, the 11.18 percent increase that Pittsfield Aqueduct Company is seeking in its revenue requirement will apply to those three customer classes uniformly, and would increase rates at 11.18. Just wanted to make the Commission aware of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 As to the drivers of this need for 8 additional revenue, there are a number of costs 9 that have increased, and they are fully set forth in Mr. Ware's testimony, which is at Tab 10 of 10 11 the filing. If I could just also make note, the 12 Computation of Revenue Deficiency, which is 13 required in the general rate cases, which is at 14 electronic Page 231, using the conventional 15 ratemaking model, it showed the test year 16 revenues were at 771,874. Calculated under the 17 conventional model, the Company's revenue needs 18 were actually \$820,000. But, if you use the --19 if you bring Pittsfield Aqueduct Company forward 20 to the ratemaking structure of Pennichuck Water 21 Works, the revenue needs are actually a little 2.2 bit higher than that, at 862,000. And, again, 23 these are -- this computation is -- the revenue 24 deficiency is reflected at Pages 231-232 of its

1 ratemaking -- of its rate filing, just for the 2 record. 3 Now, getting back to the drivers of 4 this rate increase. Negotiated union labor costs 5 have increased; insurance costs have increased; 6 regulatory expenses increased; operating expenses 7 have increased; and pension and health retirement 8 expenses have increased. And, if I can make a 9 note with respect to the pension and health 10 retirement expense increases, these, again, are 11 costs that Pittsfield Aqueduct Company does not 12 have control over, because the increase in the 13 pension and health is largely due to the 14 historically low interest rates. And Pittsfield 15 Aqueduct Company is statutorily required to use 16 those interest rates as the discount rate for 17 future pension liability obligations under the 18 plan, and these interest rates are drivers of a 19 larger funding gap between invested assets and 20 the present value of future benefit obligations. 21 The Company, by statute, is required to account 2.2 for and fund this funding difference in 23 accordance with federal regulations. 24 So, as I said before, Pittsfield

Aqueduct Company's ratemaking structure is set up to generate sufficient revenues to cover its necessary operating expenses. These are illustrations in more detail of the expenses that have increased that it must now seek additional revenues to cover.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 I would also like to point out that the 11.18 percent is a net of increases in some areas 8 and decreases in other areas. And I would just 9 10 like to note that office expense lease has 11 decreased. And also property taxes have decreased. But I'd like to note that is because 12 13 Pittsfield Aqueduct Company successfully challenged the Town of Pittsfield over valuation 14 15 of the Company's assets.

16 Second to last issue, before we 17 conclude, there has also been an audit done by 18 the Audit Staff. And the Company will be working 19 with the Audit Staff in parallel with this rate 20 case to resolve any issues. We note that the 21 Audit Staff has already issued its draft audit 2.2 report, and the Company is responding to that presently. And we -- and, as is usual with a 23 24 general rate case, audit issues, if they're

1 significant enough, will spill over into the rate 2 case. And we will work with Staff to address 3 those in the rate case, if they are brought over. So, in closing, the Company looks 4 5 forward to working with Staff, because there are 6 no other intervenors, in developing a proposed 7 procedural schedule to govern the temporary 8 rates, the request for the modification to the 9 ratemaking structure, and we will be proposing that procedural schedule to govern the remainder 10 11 of this rate case. 12 And thank you very much for taking our 13 remarks. 14 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Thank you 15 for that. 16 Commissioner Bailey, do you have any 17 questions? 18 [Cmsr. Bailey indicating in the 19 negative.] 20 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. I have just 21 one quick question. You had mentioned the access 2.2 to debt is even more restricted for this entity 23 than the other two. Can you just give me a 24 high-level explanation of that?

1 I can respond. But I also MS. BROWN: 2 know sometimes Mr. Goodhue is chomping at the bit 3 to explain this, because this is his area of 4 expertise. 5 Do you mind if I ask Mr. Goodhue to 6 explain why it is that Pittsfield Aqueduct 7 Company is more restricted than Pennichuck East Utility and Pennichuck Water Works in its access 8 to capital? 9 10 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: No. I would 11 appreciate that. 12 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 13 MR. GOODHUE: Thank you very much, 14 Commissioner Martin and Commissioner Bailey. 15 Hopefully you can hear me okay? Great. 16 I would give you an answer which is 17 more an answer of context. So, as Attorney Brown 18 elucidated, Pennichuck Water Works, being the 19 largest of the regulated utilities in the 20 Pennichuck Corporation group, has access to 21 financing that neither PEU or PAC would, in that 2.2 they have the critical mass, size and credit 23 rating to be able to issue bonds into the bond 24 market.

The bond markets have almost a minimum point of entry from which you could actually go out and issue bonds. We've been told by investment bankers that, unless you can go to the market with at least \$5 million worth of need on an annual basis, you can't even enter the market or issue those bonds.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 So, they have -- they've got access to 9 the bond markets. They have got the financial 10 covenants and coverage to be able to support 11 that. And they also have access to both the 12 State Revolving Fund loan funds, as well as the 13 Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund loan 14 funds.

15 Also, by context, Pennichuck East 16 Utility is of a size, even though they are not 17 big enough to be able to issue bonds, but they 18 are of a demographic nature and a size that they 19 have access to lending opportunities through 20 CoBank, which is a member of the Farm Credit 21 Bureau, in that they meet the demographics 2.2 relative to the size of the communities they 23 serve, in that CoBank, as a member of that Farm 24 Credit Bureau, cannot lend to entities, in a

1 majority sense, for communities that are in 2 excess of 35,000 residents. And PEU, in its 3 average size of the communities it serves, fits 4 into that niche. And, so, they have access to 5 monies through CoBank, which are at reasonable 6 interest rates and very reasonable cost of 7 issuance, as well as the SRF and Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund loans. 8 9 Pittsfield Aqueduct, being a much 10 smaller utility, does not even have access to the 11 CoBank lending facilities. We have actually 12 discussed that with CoBank in the past. But their size of their overall financials and their 13 14 coverage ratios were not even able to be

15 supported in using CoBank as a lending 16 institution. And CoBank is probably, I would 17 say, in my experience, probably the most 18 receptive to being able to lend to companies 19 based on financial strengths and magnitude 20 compared to other commercial lenders. Other 21 commercial lenders would not even entertain 2.2 lending to any of our entities in the current 23 ownership structure, in that we do not meet 24 traditional lender covenant arrangements for

those entities.

1

So, as such, currently, Pittsfield Aqueduct's only sources of dollars for capital investments are through the SRF and Drinking Water and Groundwater Trust Fund programs as fostered and administered by the New Hampshire Department of Environmental Securities [Services?].

9 That all being said, upon successful 10 completion of this rate case, and a resetting of 11 the structure, as a corporation, we will 12 readdress the opportunities that might exist from 13 CoBank, based on that modified structure, and 14 does that make a difference in opening a door for 15 that external financing opportunity with them.

16 That's an area of uncertainty that we 17 have not even addressed with CoBank, because, 18 until we know what structure is in place, it is 19 hard to discuss with a bank, with certainty, that 20 this is something that would work for them. 21 So, currently, the sources of funding

21 So, currently, the sources of funding 22 are SRF and Drinking Water/Groundwater Trust Fund 23 monies. We have successfully been able to apply 24 for those for major projects in the past. We

1 would hope that that would occur continuing going 2 forward. But this actually creates an even 3 greater cash flow burden upon Pittsfield 4 Aqueduct, in its ability to not only, you know, 5 continue to fund operating expenses, but to fund 6 major capital projects that could and should 7 arise. Thank you, Chairwoman 8 MS. BROWN: Martin, for letting Mr. Goodhue explain that. 9 10 He's clearly an expert in that area, much more 11 than I. 12 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: I appreciate it. 13 Thank you for the explanation. That was very 14 helpful. 15 Anything else we need to do before the technical session? 16 17 [No verbal response.] 18 CHAIRWOMAN MARTIN: Okay. Seeing none. 19 Then, we will let you head off to do that. And 20 we are adjourned for today. Thank you, everyone. 21 (Whereupon the prehearing conference 2.2 was adjourned at 10:46 a.m., and a 23 technical session was held thereafter.) 24